

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,583 03/09/2004		Anthony B. Wood	DDYN-26,685	1117
	590 09/01/2004		EXAMINER	
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P P.O. BOX 741715			CECIL, TERRY K	
DALLAS, TX	75374-1715		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	A			
Office Action Summary				9			
		10/796,583	WOOD, ANT	HONY B.			
	Omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mr. Terry K. Ceci	•	an addraga			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover	sneet with the correspondent	ce address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower tion.  In a reply within the statutory min  In period will apply and will expire:  In statute, cause the application to	over, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considere SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 06 July 2004.					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from considera					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) obj to the drawing(s) be held correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 e drawing(s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been rece uments have been rece se priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this Nati (a)).				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	948) /SB/08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	n (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/796,583

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,702,949. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Contact Information:

- Examiner Mr. Terry K. Cecil can be reached at (571) 272-1138 at the Carlisle campus in Alexandria, Virginia for any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner. Note that the examiner is on the increased flextime schedule but can normally be found in the office during the hours of 8:30a to 4:30p, on at least four days during the week M-F.
- Wanda Walker, the examiner's supervisor, can be reached at (571) 272-1151 if attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful.
- The Fax number for this art unit for official faxes is 703-872-9306.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mr. Terry K. Cecil Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

TKC August 26, 2004